

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/662,660	09/15/2000	Lawrence A. Booth JR.	42390P9139	3492
7590 08/17/2004			EXAMINER	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			ZIMMERMAN, GLENN	
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90025			2879	

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2N
KA.
v.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/662,660	BOOTH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Glenn Zimmerman	2879				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 June 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	<del></del>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 15-25</u> is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>12-14</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)🛛	D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 June 2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Add a set							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(DTO 412)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 1201.	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

Amendment, filed on June 18, 2004, has been entered and acknowledged by the examiner.

# **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 450. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on June 18, 2004 have been approved.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Faykish et al. U.S. Patent 5,656,360.

Regarding claim 11, Faykish et. al. discloses a film layer for a display comprising: a holographic film (holographic structured layer ref. 20), the holographic film having a front and back side; the front side of the holographic film having adjacent structures formed therein to trap at least some incident light (embossing; col. 3 line 10).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10 and 15-25 are allowed.

Claims 12-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 18, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/662,660

Art Unit: 2879

The applicant asserts that the embossing disclosed in Faykish fails to teach or suggest adjacent structures formed to trap at least some incident light therebetween.

The examiner notes that the embossing created in Faykish reflects some light (col. 3 line 7), and clearly some of the incident light is going to reflect onto adjacent structures of the embossing (Figure 5 shows this very well) and some of that reflected light is going to be absorbed by the reference 20 (structured layer) or ref. 70 (spacing resin) materials i.e. that light will be trapped. The examiner does acknowledge the previous interview and the discussion regarding antireflective material, but clearly it says here in the reference that the embossing causes reflection. The examiner notes that nitrocellulose which ref. 20 can be made of is not antireflective, as new references have been found showing this.

Page 4

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tahara U.S. Patent 5,300,169 discloses a Transfer Foil Having Reflecting Layer with Fine Dimple Pattern Recorded Thereon. Chern et al. U.S. Patent 4,815,800 discloses Flare Reduction in Holograms. Wang U.S. Patent 6,594,073 discloses an Antistatic Optical Pellicle. Wang discloses information about nitrocellulose (col. 1 lines 51-55).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/662,660 Page 5

Art Unit: 2879

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn Zimmerman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2466. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh D Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn Zimmerman

Vip Patel Primary Examiner AU 2879